1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA** 3 4 Grace Albanese, 2:17-cv-01520-JAD-CWH 5 Order Sustaining Objection to Report and Recommendation Plaintiff 6 v. [ECF Nos. 6, 7] 7 Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, 8 Defendant 9 10 Since March 9, 2016, pro se plaintiff Grace Albanese has filed 45 civil cases in this court 11 against a myriad of government and law-enforcement agencies including the Las Vegas Police Department, Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, "Homeland Security," Federal 12 Bureau of Investigations, 4 Department of Homeland Security, 5 "Las Vegas Metro Police Dept," 6 13 Regional Transportation Commission [sic] of Southern Nevada, "Homeland Security of the 14 United States, Las Vegas, NV,"8 and the Transportation Security Administration.9 In this 15 particular case, Ms. Albanese sues the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department for 16 17 "[o]bstruction of justice and denying [her] equal protection." She alleges that when she "call 18 <sup>1</sup> See, e.g., 2:17-cv-01972-JAD-NJK. 19 <sup>2</sup> See, e.g., 2:17-cv-01973-RFB-PAL. 20 21 <sup>3</sup> See, e.g., 2:17-cv-01874-RFB-VCF. 22 <sup>4</sup> See, e.g., 2:17-cv-01871-JAD-VCF. 23 <sup>5</sup> See 2:17-cv-01287-JCM-PAL. 24 <sup>6</sup> See 2:17-cv-00577-GMN-PAL. 25 <sup>7</sup> See 2:16-cv-01882-APG-PAL. 26 <sup>8</sup> See 2:16-cv-00531-RFB-VCF. 27 <sup>9</sup> See 2:16-cv-00530-GMN-CWH. 28

[sic] 311 [sic] for help, Sgt. Johnson cancelled [her] call" and told her that her neighbors in apartments 3 and 9 were not watching her, and that he would not allow officers to respond to her call.<sup>10</sup> She demands \$500,000.

On June 8, 2017, Magistrate Judge Hoffman denied Albanese's application to proceed *in forma pauperis* because it was incomplete, and he ordered her to file a new, completed pauper application.<sup>11</sup> Four days later, Albanese filed another application, but it had the same deficiencies as the first, so Judge Hoffman denied it, too.<sup>12</sup> He gave her one more chance to file a completed pauper application or pay the full \$400 filing and warned her that failure to do so by July 17, 2017, would result in his recommendation that this case be dismissed.<sup>13</sup>

That deadline came and went, and Albanese did not file another pauper application—let alone a completed one.<sup>14</sup> True to his word, Judge Hoffman recommends that I dismiss this case.<sup>15</sup> Ms. Albanese objects to the recommendation, arguing that "a [sic] empty envelope arrived at [her] address from [this court] about 3 months ago" and she had "sent it to the F.B.I. for finger print [sic] analysis."<sup>16</sup> She believes that the allegedly empty envelope was supposed to contain her pauper application. Accepting Albanese's story as true, I will give her one final opportunity to file a completed pauper application.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Albanese's objection [ECF No. 7] to Magistrate Judge Hoffman's report and recommendation [ECF No. 6] is SUSTAINED and the report and recommendation is REJECTED.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> ECF No. 1-1.

<sup>22 | 11</sup> ECF No. 3.

<sup>23 | 12</sup> ECF No. 5.

 $<sup>^{13}</sup>$  *Id*.

<sup>26 | &</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> See generally docket report case no. 2:17-cv-01520-JAD-CWH.

<sup>27 | 15</sup> ECF No. 6.

<sup>28 16</sup> ECF No. 7.

The Clerk of Court is directed to **SEND to Albanese a blank application to proceed** *in forma pauperis* by a non-prisoner along with instructions to complete it.

Albanese has until February 8, 2018, to file a COMPLETED application to proceed *in forma pauperis*. If Albanese fails to comply with this court-ordered deadline, this action will be dismissed with prejudice without further prior notice.

DATED: January 8, 2018.

U.S. District Judge Jennifer A. Dorsey